## DETECTORS FOR IMAGING IN RADIATION THERAPY

I. G. Valais<sup>1</sup>, P. C. Xydias<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Medical Instruments Technology, Technological Educational Institution of Athens, Ag. Spyridonos, Aigaleo, 122 10 Athens, Greece, e-mail: <u>ivalais@teiath.gr</u>
<sup>2</sup>Radiation Therapy Department, Iatropolis S.A., 56 Ethnikis Antistaseos str., 15231 Athens, Greece

**Abstract.** Despite the many advances in patient positioning, dose deliverance as intended remains a difficult practical issue due to a number of complicating factors. Various techniques and methods have been developed over the years for accurate patient positioning. It has long been recognized that the use of the therapy x-ray beam itself to create portal images can be of significant benefit in assuring correct delivery of the radiation dose. The present study is a brief overview of the detectors incorporated on electronic portal imaging devices imposed by the nature of the application and the physics of the imaging source. It is a summary of the challenges and constraints inherent to portal imaging along with a concise, historical review of the technologies that have been explored and developed. This is followed by a detailed description of a new, high performance, portal imaging technology, which is presently undergoing commercial introduction.

## REFERENCES

- Jaffray, DA, Bissonnette JP, Craig T. (1999). "X-ray Imaging for Verification and Localization in Radiation Therapy in Modern Technology of Radiation Oncology (suppl. 1)". *Modern Technology of Radiation Oncology*. Madison, WI: Medical Physics Pub. <u>ISBN 0-944838-38-3</u>.
- [2] Dawson, LA, Sharpe, MB (2006), "Image-guided radiotherapy: rationale, benefits, and limitations", *Lancet Oncol.* **7** (10), pp. 848-858
- [3] Antonuk, L.E (2002). "Electronic portal imaging devices: a review and historical perspective of contemporary technologies and research", *Phys. Med. Biol.* **47**, R31-65.
- [4] Munro P, Rawlinson J A and Fenster A (1988). "Therapy imaging: source sizes of radiotherapy beams", *Med. Phys.* **15**, pp.517–24
- [5] Herman M G, Balter J M, Jaffray D A, McGee K P, Munro P, Shalev S, Van Herk M and Wong J W (2001). "Clinical use of electronic portal imaging: report of AAPM radiation therapy committee task group 58", *Med. Phys.* 28, pp. 712–37
- [6] Munro P (1995). "Portal imaging technology: past, present, and future", Semin. Radiat. Oncol. 5, pp. 115–33
- [7] Antonuk L E, El-Mohri Y,HuangW, Jee K-W, Siewerdsen J H, Maolinbay M, Scarpine VE, Sandler H and Yorkston J (1998). "Initial performance evaluation of an indirect-detection, active matrix flat-panel imager (AMFPI) prototype for megavoltage imaging", *Int. J. Radiat. Oncol. Biol. Phys.* **42**, pp. 437–54
- [8] Mulato M, Ready S, Van Schuylenbergh K, Lu J P and Street R A (2001) "Cross-talk and lateral conduction effects in continuous-sensor amorphous silicon imagers", *J. Appl. Phys.* **89** pp. 8193–201.
- [9] Colbeth R E, Allen M J, Day D J, Gilblom D L, Klausmeier-Brown M E, Pavkovich J, Seppi E J and Shapiro E G (1997). "Characterization of an amorphous silicon fluoroscopic imager" *Proc. SPIE* 3032, pp. 42–51
- [10] Antonuk L E, Yorkston J, Huang W, Siewerdsen J and Street R A (1993). "Considerations for high frame rate operation of two-dimensional a-Si:H imaging arrays", *Mater. Res. Soc. Symp. Proc.* 297, pp. 945–50.
- [11] El-Mohri Y, Jee K-W, Antonuk L E, Maolinbay Mand Zhao (2001). "Determination of the detective quantum efficiency of a prototype megavoltage indirect detection, active matrix flat-panel imager", *Med. Phys.* 28, pp. 2538–50
- [12] Antonuk L E, Yorkston J, Huang W, Sandler H, Siewerdsen J H and El-Mohri Y (1996). "Megavoltage imaging with a large area, flat-panel, amorphous silicon imager", *Int. J. Radiat. Oncol. Biol. Phys.* 36 pp 661–72
- [13] Zhao W and Rowlands J A (1995) "X-ray imaging using amorphous selenium: feasibility of a flat-panel self-scanned detector for digital radiology" *Med. Phys.* 22, pp. 1595-1604.
- [14] Street R A, Mulato M, Schieber M, Hermon H, Shah K, Bennett P, Dmitryev Y, Ho J, Lau R, Meerson E, Ready S E, Reisman B, Sado Y, VanSchuylenbergh K, Vilensky A and Zuck A (2001). "Comparative study of PbI2 and HgI2 as direct detector materials for high resolution x-ray image sensors" *Proc. SPIE* 4320 pp. 1–12

- [15] DiBianca F, Samant S, Laughter J, Rasmussen J and Rodriguez C (1997). "Use of a kinestatic charge detector for megavoltage portal imaging", Proc. SPIE 3032 pp. 195–201
- [16] Iacobaeus C, Brahme A, Danielsson M, Fonte P, Ostling J, Peskov V and Wallmark M (2001). "A novel portal imaging device for advanced radiation therapy", *IEEE Trans. Nucl. Sci.* 48 pp. 1496–502
- [17] Sauli F (1997). "GEM: a new concept for electron amplification in gas detectors", *Nucl. Instrum. Methods* A **386** pp. 531–4
- [18] Daniel J.H., Sawant A., Teepe M., Shih C., Street R.A., and Antonuk L.E., (2007). "Fabrication of high aspect-ratio polymer microstructures for large-area electronic portal x-ray imagers", *Sens Actuators A Phys.* 140(2) pp. 185–193.
- [19] El-Mohri Y, Antonuk LE., Koniczek M, Zhao Q, Li Y, Street RA.and Lu JP, (2009). "Active pixel imagers incorporating pixel-level amplifiers based on polycrystalline-silicon thin-film transistors" *Med. Phys.* 36 (7) pp. 3340-3355.